G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12 1.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1898-99

~~191~~ .

(Mulgai)

File No. 6

(Seeliong

Serial Nos. 2-9.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Complaint of Kovandakkal-Arichattagai Sri Kote Ramakrishnaswami Temple at Nagadi Stating that the produce of the lands belonging to certain private Temples have not been given by the Local Authorities.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5464 Encl 4

~~accompanying~~ Petition ~~No. 4938~~

~~dated 20-5-1906~~ received at this Office from Konava Deekshit of Nagadi Complaining that the produce of the lands belonging to certain private temples have been given to him by the local authorities

~~he is put to much diffi.~~

~~are~~ is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosures, to the Dy Comr Bangalore Dt for enquiry and report

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
23-5-1906.

No. 5465

(1) No 4496 dated 2nd Aug '06

(1) No 4696 dated Do —

(1) No 4862 dated 14th Aug '06

(1) No 4938 dated 20th Do —

of which he is the worshipper

1906 S.K.3

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To

The Secy. to Govt of Mysore
Revenue Deptt

Dated 25.

Despatched June 1907.

Received

General No.

Dis No. 188

Enclosure No. 1 to 2e

H.C. No. 5464 of 23-5-06

Reports with records
on the petition of Konara
Deekshita of Nagadi

MYSORE
5777
25-6-07

Sir

Please call for
an estimate of the
Income Rep

ks
6/7/07

Bangalore District.

Ms. No 188
E. of Sc.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th June 1907.

From,
H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To,
L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to your official Memo No. 5464 dated 23rd May 1906, forwarding for report petition from Komara Deekshita of Magady, complaining that the produce of the lands belonging to certain private temples of which, as the worshippers have not been given to him by the local authorities, I have the honor to state that the

the idols referred to in the petition are reported to be within the temple premises of Kote Ranneswara which is a Muzrai Institution and that the petitioner was the Archak of those idols also. The idols referred to above have been endowed with Inams, both by Government and private parties. The lands endowed by the latter which were under private occupation prior to Inam settlement were classed as Devadaya for the benefit of the idols during the Inam settlement. The petitioner was in the enjoyment of these lands also till 1903, when he was dismissed from the archakship. There is nothing to show that any of these lands were granted

as

as Inam (Brahmadaya) for the benefit of the petitioner

2. The order of dismissal also debars the petitioner from interfering even with properties endowed by private persons to Institutions which are not under Government management, vide Government order No. 1719 – 27 dated 24th February 1903, which states that the absence of the direct supervision of the Mufrasi Department over private institutions does not deprive the Government of its right to prevent misappropriation and other mal practices.

The entire file of vernacular papers ending with Closepet Division Officer's report

No. 73 dated 10th. August 1906, is herewith forwarded for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Chaplain Secretary.

for Dy Commr
WHZ

Muz. 1]

190

From

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore Dist.

Dated

Despatched 30

Received

General No. 7

No.

Enclosure No.

His de Hon no 188 of 25th
June 1907 regarding the
petition of Kumara Swamy
Devasthanam of Magadi re the
archakship of certain minor
situated within the Fort enclo
of the Sri Rameshwara Swamy
temple at Magadi

with reference to the
above, have the honor to state

that in Govt Pro's ≥ 274 D 20 ,

In 8 of 1907, one Muddappa
Deekshit, a nominee of Kumara Deekshit was appointed
archak of the Sri Ramesa-
wara Swami Temple at Rajadi
temporarily on trial for
one year; ~~and to enquire~~ the Commr is req
to report
~~as to~~ whether the same Muddappa
Deekshit cannot be
appointed archak of
the minor temples of Pancha-
lingeswara, Gauri Gangadhares
wara & Prasanna Ramesa-
wara referred to by the Petnr
Kumara Deekshit.

The Enclosures are herewith.

Returned for reference & to be sent together with the
Commr's opinion in the matter
RO

27/10/07

Secretary
27/10/07

Dft as per orders

Muz. 1]

190 6

From

SL 5

To

The Dy Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched 9/11/1

Received

General No. 2111

No.

Enclosure No. Nil

In continuation of this office no. 2114 dated 30th October 1904, has the honor to forward herewith for favor of needful action and report, petition registered no. 2080 dated 15th Nov. 1904, presented by Kumara Deekshith of Nagadi praying represent-ing that his nominee Muddappa Deekshith who

has been appointed temporarily for one year, as Archak of the Sri Rameswara-Swamy temple at Nagari, is dead, and praying that one Subramanya Deekshitu may be appointed in his stead.

By order,

Secretary.

Encl. As the petn.

Dft for approval

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

199 —190 SL6

From

I. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Dated

Despatched

Received 22.7.1914

General No. 289.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that an extract of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of Inam lands for the up-keep of Panchalingeswara, Prasanna Rameswara and other minor temples situated within the precincts of the Kote Rameswaraswamy temple at Magadi, may be kindly forwarded to this Office for reference.

By order,
12/7/14
Secretary

6/1247 Dft

GPB—2,000—7-2-06

Inam Superintendent's Office,
Bangalore dated 23rd August 1907

No. 21

S(7)

576/3/8/07

From

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
= ment of Mysore, Muzrai
Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 289 dated 22nd July 1907, requesting to be furnished with an extract of the Inam Register pertaining to the grant of lands for the up-keep of Panchalingeswara, Prasanna Rameswara and other minor temples situated within the precincts of the Kote Rameswaraswami temple at Magadi, I have the honor to request to be informed of the villages in which the Inams are situated.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

N Narasa Rao
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore

Muz 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Bso., B A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore

Dated

Despatched 19 7 07

Received

General No. 1420
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter no 546 dated 30 August 1904, has the honor to state that the Situation of the Inam lands ensaved to the Panchalingeswara Devaru Situated within the precincts of the Kote Rameswara Swamy temple at magadi is as noted in the margin.

(x Slip W in the vern file)

By order,

GPS—3,000—21-7-06.

Secretary

---

x S. no. 52. Dry 2 3-8 assessment. 4 7 0 0 - ensaved to the Kasiviswesvara Devaru
D 1/2 Vistli in Jodi Doddenahalli Village ensaved to the Kasiviswesvara Devaru
2) S. no. 33 in Kathiahi Grama — — — do — — Srikanteswara Devaru.
3) one vistli in Jodi Hosahalli Grama of 2 — do — — Neelakanteswara
the Kunigal Taluk ans Eswara Devaru

Inam Superintendents' Office,
Bangalore dated 4th October 1907

Ref as please No 42,

SC7

From

The Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No
1420 dated 12th September 1907, requesting to be
furnished with copies of the Inam Registers
pertaining to the Grant of Inam lands to
the Panchalingeswara Devaru within the
precincts of Kote Rameswara devaru at
Magadi, I have the honor to forward herewith
a copy of the Inam Register of Jodi Hosahalli
Grama in the Kunigal Taluk

2 It is not possible to trace the other
3 inams as the villages of Kativalu and
Doddenahalli are not to be found in the
Quit-rent register of the Magadi Taluk,

and

and no Inam seems to have been endowed
to Sri Kasi Visweswara devaru at Magadi

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

D Hanumaiah
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Register Sheet No 126.

Village — Hosahully gody

Sanhumutta — Byadarahully

Taluk — Hooliyoordurga

Copy of the

Register of Inams in the village of Hosahully Gody in the

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry ... or garden	Extent Local measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Extent Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
1	Personal	Admitted under Proceedings No 10 of 1868 para 6 — As per Pymaishy furnished by the Shanboque & Inamdars 1870		
Title Deed No 373		Dry		
		Village site	14.945 Sq rods	= 3.09
		Poramboke	385.735 Do	= 79.70
		Cultivated	1173.654 "	= 242.49
		Cultivable	54.395 "	= 11 24
				336.52
		× Wet		
		Cultivated	31.700 Sq rods	= 6.55
		Cultivable	85.891 "	= 17.75
				24.30
× Inam village Tank & wells		× Garden	2400 "	= .50
			Grand Total	361.32

There is an old Pymaish in the keeping of the Shanboque which is only in part.
No other Pymaish in the Talook Cutcheri.

Average receipts as under

Discretionary addition, on account of improved Cultivation, &c. &c. See column 9 para 5. 50%

with dakhaliez 1 Kanavepallya 2 Seegepallya 3 Pooranepallya
4 Doddanayapallya 5 Dhoolundapalya 6 Koonunapalya.

Sammat of Byadarahully in the Taluk of Hooliyoordurga

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc., or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.*, jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
Beriz as per accounts. 45 Pagas 130-14-7	—	For personal benefit	Jody 36 Pagodas = 104-11-8 Local fund for 1868 1-0-9.

100-5-3

94-1-7

282-4-10 or
Rs. 282 adopted

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
— —	Copy of a sannad from Dewan & Poornaih dated 1801 (from the Taluk records) confirming this village on the old jodi of 36 Pagodas, in 18 Vrittés.

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	Kyfiyatth Pufties 1806. & 1810. Hossahully agrahar 1 Asali 1 Dakhali 1 Jank, Venkatasastry &c. 18 Vrittiés Beriz 45 Pagodas jody 36-.	1821. 1825 & 1831. as in column 13. Jammabandy Goshwara 1862 Hosahully agrahar 1 Asali 1 Jank Venkatasastry &c. 18 Vrittiés Beriz 130-14-7 jody 104-11-8 Return class II No 5 of 1870. Hosahully 1 Asali 6 Dakhalies Beriz & jody as above;

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Nagadi			Vrittis = Fracti of the whole.
1 Chitambarabhat 80	—	4 sons	1 Vritty = 1/18
Seekanthashastry 12	—	—	1½ V — 1/12
Soobbarayabhat 60	—	3 sons & 2 united Brothers	½ V — 1/36
Boollambhat 30	—	1 son & 2 united brothers	3/8 V — 1/48
Nagambhat — 16	—	1 Do	3/8 V — 1/48
Narrainabhat 60	—	2 sons	3/8 V — 1/48
Nurasimhabhat 50	—	3 "	3/8 V — 1/48
Sreekantapandit 45	—	1 "	1/5 V = 1/90
Dukshinamoorthi bhat — 25	—	2 united brothers	3/5 V = 1/30
Gooroomoorthi -bhat 40	—	—	1/5 V = 1/90
Nrunmudasastry of Hotaganahalli 35	—	—	1/8 V = 1/144
Ramasastry 18	—	—	1/8 V = 1/144
Sambasastry 50	—	2 sons	1/4 V = 1/72
Venkatramanabhat 40		1 son	31/32 V = 31/576
Vencatesabhat 50	—	5 sons	1 1/8 V = 1/16
Apparaohani 40	—	3 sons	1 21/32 V = 53

9

of Bhasca Bhatta 55	—	2 u	$\frac{5}{8}$ V $\frac{5}{144}$
Innjiundabhat 42 alias Koonnambhat	-	1 Son	1 V $\frac{1}{18}$
Kongarmah 40	-	1 united brother	$\frac{1}{4}$ V = $\frac{1}{72}$
Krishnah — 55	-	1 Son	$\frac{1}{4}$ V - $\frac{1}{72}$
Seeswaradevar of Nagadi (for proxy, &c of) At Mysore	-	-	1 V = $\frac{1}{18}$
Yogaswandit 55 at Bangalore	-	1 Son	$\frac{1}{2}$ V = $\frac{1}{36}$
Dakshinamoorthi dut 47	-	4 u	$\frac{1}{2}$ V - $\frac{1}{36}$
24. Tippaswandit 55	-	2 Sons	$\frac{1}{3}$ V = $\frac{1}{54}$
25. Koonarapa 50	-	2	$\frac{1}{3}$ V - $\frac{1}{54}$
26. Coucaswandit 48	-	1 Son	$\frac{1}{3}$ V = $\frac{1}{54}$
At Hooli droog	-		
27 Shamabhat 22	-	2 united brothers	1 $\frac{1}{2}$ V = $\frac{1}{12}$
28 Sreekantiah 30	—	—	$\frac{3}{4}$ V - $\frac{1}{24}$
29 Kalambhat 21	-	-	$\frac{3}{4}$ V = $\frac{1}{24}$
At Kadasingana ally			
30 Shambhat (son of Goond -dhami 13) (Divided)	-	—	$\frac{1}{8}$ Vritty $\frac{1}{144}$
			18 Vrittis &c.

Note

A. No independant Excluded Inams

B. Subsequent alienations by the Inam

1 Lakshmi devata of the village, for Nyuedya, &c. of

wet 0.71
Dry 3.56
4.27

2 Mallesa-arasivanny of the village for Pooji &c. of free

wet 0.25
Dry 2.23
2.48

3. Basavanna of the village for Pooji &c Do

Dry 1.45
wet .23
1.68

4. Mariamma of the village for Pooji &c of Do

wet 0.19
Dry 2.33
2.52 x Jodi 1-4-3

5. Inam, Doty for service x paid to Joisdars

wet 0.10
Dry 1.57
1.67 x Jodi 2 5-3

Division

LENGTH OF POSSESSION		
Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam	Assistant's opinion and recommendation	~~Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner~~
19		

This Inam is valid, and borne out by the accounts. The original Summud & Poornah is not produced, but a copy of it is furnished from the Taluk cutcheri, & has been verified from the Kyfiyatte Puttees.

2 The babs now enjoyed by the Inamdars are exhibited in the table on the left hand side sayer it is stated has ceased to be levied by the parties, and Abkarry is not mentioned in the list of 1843, in which the Inam villages entitled to this branch of the revenue are entered. These 2 Babs must in Consequence be held to be reserved.

3. The Pymaish produced by the parties, as per columns 3, 4 & 5, is admitted under Proceedings No. 10 dated 8th June 1868, para 6. It is I think sufficiently reliable for our purposes, being conducted by the parties & the Shanboque in detail. See annexures of the statement.

4. There is no record in the Taluk Cutcherry, nor in the accounts received from the Chief Commissioner's office, of the Vrittees of this Agrahar. The relationship of the claimants to the original Vrittidars

GPB—1 000 books of 2 sheets each—9 8 06

Vrittidars cannot in consequence be explained in column 16. But it is asserted that they are the direct heirs & assignees of the parties, who were the original owners of the Vrittis.

5. In revising the assessment, I have adopted the average receipts of the parties, and made a slight addition thereto, for the purpose of providing for improvement, &c. &c. In cases where from press of work our own measurers cannot survey Inam villages, I am loath to propose a subsisting acreage.

6. The Inamdars claimed only a certain piece of boundry land, which however they admit is in the enjoyment of the ryots of the neighbouring sirkar villages, & which is subsisting condayem to Government. I referred the parties to a regular suit, which has not yet been filed, though ample time was allowed to them for the purpose — As from their own showing the disputed land appears to be in the enjoyment of sirkar ryots, I do not think it is necessary to wait any longer, for the suit, which after all may never be brought. The register is therefore completed.

7. To be confirmed to the Inamdars in Column 15, in shares specified in Column 18, subject to

Present

Present jodi 104-11-8

1/8 Quit Rent on revised asst 22-2-7

126-14-3

or Rupees 127.

Agreed to

(Signed) Narasimmyengar

Assistant

22-8-70

Boundaries

on the East - Boundary of Yelagavadi village

West - Do of Yelachivadi and Yedihalli

South — Do of Halabele & Nagari Taluk and Dassanapura Hobli. Nordoorg Taluk.

North — Yelagalavadi boundary

Year	Sundries. Revenue) Land			Inoha turfa		Hoolbanny		Amrai		Sayer		Abkari		Chitties Babs including Plough tax		Total		
1	2			3			4		5		6		7		8		9	
1860-61	234	-	-	14	10	6	-	10	4	-	3	-	-	-	1	14	-	251	8	6
1861-62	185	-	-	14	10	6	"	9	-	-	4	-	-	2	4	-	-	1	15	-	202	8	10
1862-63	183	-	-	11	11	10	"	6	"	-	2	6	"	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	201	14	4
1863-64	192	-	-	10	13	10	"	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	204	10	10
1864-65	195	-	-	10	13	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	"	207	7	10
1865-66	204	-	-	9	6	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	215	-	7
1866-67	60	5	-	2	6	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		62	5	
1867-68	108	8	-	6	6	7	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	116	10	7
1868-69	194	14	-	9	10	"	-	4	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	206	8	
1869-70	200	15	-	10	8	7	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	-	213	55	-
Total	1762	10	-	100	12	3	2	4	-	13	6	-	5	4	-	-	-	15	3	-	1882	-	1
Average	176	4	2	10	1	3	-	3	7	-	1	4	-	-	6	-	-	1	8	4	188	3	3

Statistics

Total houses 75 - Population 374 soules - 1 tank breached 1 katte - 4 Ponds - 5 wells. 52 Cultivators - 1 Oil mill 4 Looms.

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20

To be confirmed as recommended on a Combined Quit Rent of Rupees 127-a-a. The Sayer and Abkari are reserved for the state, and the Inoturf a: left to be enjoyed as usual to the Inamdars The right to Jungle is subject to the Forest + Notification of June 1869.

23-11-70

/Issd/ B. Gopaliah
Spl. Assistant

Confirmed subject to a Quit Rent of Rs. 127.

/Signed/ J. Clark
Inam Commissioner

January. 5. 71.

"Copy"

Compd.
Inam Sup. Ho. office
Bangalore 2/4/5 October 1907

D Nuncia Rao
Superintendent of Inam Settlements in Mysore